

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,294	12/23/2003	Fred W. Rausch	12475.04	7854
75	590 09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
Richard C. Litman			HALE, GLORIA M	
LITMAN LAW	OFFICES, LTD.			
P.O. Box 15033	3		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA 22215			3765	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				81		
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-g		
	, .	10/743,294	RAUSCH ET AL.	/		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gloria Hale	3765			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	PSS		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ •				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the m	erits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		,			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.			(
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	,			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·				
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age		
∧ ₩aab	*/a\					
Attachmen	τ(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) 🔯 Infor Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-15	2)		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the "Related Applications" information needs to be updated to include the Patent Number of the previous application..

Appropriate correction is required.

The use of the trademark CORDURA has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw (US 2,379,498).

Shaw discloses a one piece outfit comprising waders/waterproof trousers 12, hood 16, boots 18 and a jacket 10 with at least one entry zipper 34, accordion gusset means 42

and zipper covering flaps, rubber strips 40 wherein the parts are cut out and joined together. (See figure 1 and right col. Line 14 to page 2, left col., line 42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw (US 2,379,498) in view of Duyn (US 6,370,692).

Shaw discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the hood as being detachable. Duyn discloses a waterproof outdoor garment with a detachable hood 10 by zipper 80. (See Duyn, col. 3, lines 56-64).. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hood of Shaw to make it detachable so that the wearer can selectively decide to protect the head and when not in use it can be removed for storage elsewhere so it is not in the way of the wearer's head.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw in view of Wallace (US 1,973,421).

Shaw discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the dual zippers. Wallace discloses a jumpsuit overall covering garment with dual zippers on the front for ease in access to the garment and wherein the front flap between the zippers is foldable. (See Wallace, col. 2, lines 10-19 and figure 1). Accordingly it would have been

Art Unit: 3765

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the garment of Shaw with the teaching of Wallace to provide dual zippers on the front of the garment for greater ease in donning and doffing the garment.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw in view of Dehner (US 6,154,884).

Shaw discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the garment seams as being heat sealed. Dehner discloses waders with heat sealed seams 54.

Such heat sealing of waterproof garments and waders is well known as shown in Dehner. (See col. 4, lines 12-18 and figure 2)

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to weld the wader garment of Shaw with known heat sealing methods as disclosed by Dehner to obtain a secure waterproof seam.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,294 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,383. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims claim the same jacket wader garment with the heat sealed connection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morner (US 2,306,488) discloses a waterproof wading suit with the components as claimed. The other cited references disclose one piece waterproof suits and suits with dual zippers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 703-308-1282. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GLORYAM. HALE PRIMARY EXAMINE